

Proving primality

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago

Thm (Agrawal, Kayal, Saxena 2002):
“PRIMES \in P.”

i.e. there is a deterministic
polynomial-time algorithm A
such that $A(s) = 1$ iff
 s is the decimal expansion
of a prime number.

Proving compositeness

e.g. 3141592653589793238

is not prime.

e.g. 314159265358979323

is not prime:

it is $317213509 \cdot 990371647$.

Thm: Assume that

$a > 1$, $b > 1$, and $ab = n$.

Then n is not prime.

For every non-prime n :

can find suitable a, b

by trying all a 's in

$\{2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor\}$.

Verifying compositeness proof:

$\log \text{ time} \asymp \log d$

where d is length of input.

“PRIMES \in coNP.”

Finding compositeness proof:

$\log \text{ time} \asymp d$.

One way to prove primality:

Fail to prove compositeness;

$\log \text{ time} \asymp d$.

Faster factoring

Many ways to find a
more quickly than trial division.

Number field sieve: conjectured
 $\log \text{ time} \asymp d^{1/3} (\log d)^{2/3}$.

Compositeness without factoring

Thm (Fermat):

Assume that $a^n \neq a$ in \mathbf{Z}/n .

Then n is not prime.

e.g. $n = 314159265358979323$

is not prime:

$2^n = 198079119221837432 \neq 2$

in \mathbf{Z}/n .

Represent \mathbf{Z}/n as
 $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$.

Computing powers in \mathbf{Z}/n takes
log time $\asymp \log d$.

e.g. in $\mathbf{Z}/35621$: $2^{12900} = 509$ so
 $2^{25800} = 509^2 = 259081 = 9734$.

Quickly proves compositeness
of *most* non-primes n .

But some non-primes n
have $2^n = 2$ in \mathbf{Z}/n .

Some non-primes n
(“Carmichael numbers”)
have $a^n = a$ in \mathbf{Z}/n for all a .

e.g. $2821 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31$;
but $2^{2821} = 2$ in $\mathbf{Z}/2821$.

Thm (Artjuhov 1966, et al.):

Assume that $n \in 5 + 8\mathbf{Z}$ and

that a , $a^{(n-1)/2} + 1$, $a^{(n-1)/4} + 1$,
 $a^{(n-1)/4} - 1$ are nonzero in \mathbf{Z}/n .

Then n is not prime.

e.g. in $\mathbf{Z}/2821$: $2^{1410} + 1 = 1521$;
 $2^{705} + 1 = 2606$; $2^{705} - 1 = 2604$.

Cover all n using similar tests

for $n \in 3 + 4\mathbf{Z}$, $n \in 9 + 16\mathbf{Z}$, etc.

For every non-prime n :
if generalized Riemann hypothesis
is true, can find $a \leq 70(\log n)^2$.
(Miller 1976; Oesterlé 1979)

Trying all these a 's takes
 $\log \text{time} \asymp \log d$.

“GRH implies PRIMES \in P.”

For every non-prime n :

most a 's work.

(Rabin 1976; Monier 1980;

Atkin, Larson 1982; similar:

Solovay, Strassen 1977)

Try $100d$ uniform random a 's;

negligible chance of failure;

$\log \text{time} \asymp \log d$.

“PRIMES \in coRP.”

Can eliminate randomness
by generating “pseudorandom”
sequence of a 's for n .

If generator is
cryptographically strong
then algorithm never fails.

“If there is a strong PRNG
then $BPP = coRP = RP = P$.”
(basic idea: Yao 1982)

Proving primality

Thm (Lucas 1876): Assume that
 $n > 1$; $a^{n-1} = 1$ in \mathbf{Z}/n ;
and $a^{(n-1)/q} \neq 1$ in \mathbf{Z}/n
for every prime q dividing $n - 1$.
Then n is prime.

e.g. $n = 1000003$ is prime:

$$n - 1 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 166667;$$

2, 3, 166667 are prime;

$$\text{in } \mathbf{Z}/n: 2^{n-1} = 1, 2^{(n-1)/2} \neq 1, \\ 2^{(n-1)/3} \neq 1, 2^{(n-1)/166667} \neq 1.$$

If n is prime

then can find a and q 's.

Verifying primality proof:

$\log \text{ time} \asymp \log d.$

“PRIMES \in NP.”

Finding primality proof is slow.

Much faster if $n - 1$ factors nicely.

Partial factorization of $n - 1$
is sufficient. (Pocklington 1914)

Or $n^2 - 1$. (Morrison 1975;
Brillhart, Lehmer, Selfridge 1975)

Proving primality with Jacobi sums
using $n^6 - 1$, $n^{24} - 1$, etc.:

$\log \text{time} \asymp \log d \log \log d$.

(Adleman, Pomerance, Rumely
1979)

Replace unit group with
random elliptic-curve group.

Conjecturally negligible
chance of failure;

$\log \text{time} \asymp \log d$.

“If primes are well distributed
then $\text{PRIMES} \in \text{RP}$.”

(Goldwasser, Kilian 1986;
relying on Schoof 1985)

Replace elliptic-curve group with
group of points on Jacobian
of genus-2 hyperelliptic curve.

Negligible chance of failure;

$\log \text{time} \asymp \log d$.

“PRIMES \in RP.”

(Adleman, Huang 1992)

Thm (Agrawal, Kayal, Saxena 2002):

Assume that q and r are prime,

q divides $r - 1$,

$n^{(r-1)/q} \bmod r \notin \{0, 1\}$,

and $\binom{q+s-1}{s} \geq n^{2\lfloor\sqrt{r}\rfloor}$.

If n has no prime divisors $< s$,

and $(x + b)^n = x^n + b$

in the ring $(\mathbf{Z}/n)[x]/(x^r - 1)$

for all $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, s - 1\}$,

then n is a power of a prime.

Find q, r, s with $rs \in (\log n)^{10+o(1)}$.

Check remaining conditions.

Proves that n is prime,

or proves that n is composite.

Bottleneck in computation:

$s \log_2 n$ multiplications of

huge integers, each $\approx 2r \log_2 n$ bits.

Time $r^{1+o(1)} s (\log n)^{2+o(1)}$;

$\log \text{time} \asymp \log d$.

Life after “PRIMES \in P”

Simplified proof. (Lenstra)

Polynomial time does *not* mean fast.

In practice, use coRP tests.

For proofs, use Jacobi sums.

Trying to make AKS competitive:

$\approx 450\times$ speedup (Bernstein);

$\approx 1000\times$ additional speedup

(Lenstra, Poonen, Voloch); more?